

Th. Show that the necessary and sufficient for a sequence to be convergent is that it is bounded and has a unique limit point.

Proof: The condition is necessary!

Let the sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ is convergent and converges to l .

Then to prove that

- (i) $\langle x_n \rangle$ is bounded
- (ii) $\langle x_n \rangle$ has a unique limit point.

As per the theory that every convergent sequence is bounded

$\Rightarrow \langle x_n \rangle$ is bounded. --- (i)

Now let if possible it has two limit points

l_1 & l_2 where $l_1 > l_2$.

[Here it should be clear that these l_1 & l_2 are limit points not the limits

for the sake of convenience let l_1 is the limit also on which $\langle x_n \rangle$ converges.

$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = l_1 \Rightarrow \epsilon > 0 ; \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ st.

$$|x_n - l_1| < \epsilon \quad \forall n > n_0$$

$\Rightarrow x_n \in (l_1 - \epsilon, l_1 + \epsilon) \quad \forall n > n_0$ --- (ii)

\Rightarrow In the n.b.d. of l_1 , there are infinite members of x_n

$\Rightarrow l_1$ is a limit point of $\langle x_n \rangle$

\Rightarrow from (ii), we have

$$x_n < l_1 + \epsilon \quad \text{for } n > n_0$$

$$\Rightarrow x_n < l_1 + \epsilon \quad \text{for infinite values of } n$$

$$\Rightarrow x_n > l_1 + \epsilon \quad \text{for finite values of } n$$

$$\Rightarrow x_n < l_1 - \epsilon \quad \text{for finite values of } n \quad \text{--- (iii)}$$

Now If $\epsilon = \frac{1}{3}(l_2 - l_1) \Rightarrow l_2 - l_1 = 3\epsilon$

$$\Rightarrow l_2 - \epsilon = l_1 + 2\epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow l_2 - \epsilon > l_1 + \epsilon$$

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = l_1$
↑

$\Rightarrow x_n \in (l_2 - \epsilon, l_2 + \epsilon)$ for finite values of n

$\Rightarrow l_2$ is not a limit point of $\langle x_n \rangle$

$\Rightarrow \langle x_n \rangle$ has only one limit point l_1

Condition is sufficient:-

Let $\langle x_n \rangle$ is bounded and it has unique limit point l_1 .
 We are to prove that $\langle x_n \rangle$ converges to l_1 .
 Since l_1 is a limit point of $\langle x_n \rangle$,
 \Rightarrow n.b. of l_1 i.e. $(l_1 - \epsilon, l_1 + \epsilon)$ contains infinite number of points of $\langle x_n \rangle$
 $\Rightarrow x_n \in (l_1 - \epsilon, l_1 + \epsilon)$ for infinite $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 $\Rightarrow x_n \notin (l_1 - \epsilon, l_1 + \epsilon)$ for finite values of n .
 Let n_0 be the largest among these n .
 $\Rightarrow x_n \in (l_1 - \epsilon, l_1 + \epsilon)$ for infinite $n > n_0$
 $\Rightarrow l_1$ is limit of sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$

Th. Prove that ^{H.P.} the necessary and sufficient condition for a monotonically increasing sequence to be convergent is that it is bounded and in that case $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \text{Sup}\{x_n\}$

Proof: The condition is necessary.

Let us take first that $\langle x_n \rangle$ be a monotonic increasing sequence, which convergent and converges to l .

But, we also know, that every convergent

is bounded

$\Rightarrow \langle x_n \rangle$ is bounded. - - - ϵ_i

Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = l \quad \therefore \langle x_n \rangle$ is convergent.

\Rightarrow for every $\epsilon > 0, \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ st. $|x_n - l| < \epsilon$
 $\forall n > n_0$

$\Rightarrow l - \epsilon < x_n < l + \epsilon \quad \forall n > n_0$

Since $\langle x_n \rangle$ is monotonically increasing.

\Rightarrow for every $\epsilon > 0, l + \epsilon$ is an upper bound of $\langle x_n \rangle$.

$\Rightarrow x_n > x_{n_0} \quad \forall n > n_0$

$\Rightarrow x_n < l + \epsilon \quad \forall n > n_0$

Now, $l - \epsilon$ is not an upper bound, we will try to prove that l is also an upper bound of x_n , if so, then it will be the sup. of $\langle x_n \rangle$. we will prove it through contradiction.

Let us assume that l is not the sup. of $\langle x_n \rangle$.

$\Rightarrow x_m > l$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$\Rightarrow x_m - l > 0$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$

Let $x_m - l = \delta > 0$

Here $x_m - \delta/2 = l + \delta/2 < x_m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

if $\epsilon = \delta/2$ (set) $\Rightarrow x_m > l + \epsilon$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$

~~But~~ But this is contrary to the statement that $l + \epsilon$ is an upper bound of $\langle x_n \rangle$

\Rightarrow our assumption is wrong

\Rightarrow l is sup of $\langle x_n \rangle$.

$$\Rightarrow \text{Sup} \langle x_n \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$$

H.P.